

Appendix 2 – Summary of Representations Received and the proposed Council Response

Ashton on Mersey

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
08-Aug-16	Barratt Homes c/o Emery Planning	1431	CAA Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	Note the contents of the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Ashton on Mersey, and support its future adoption. The document represents detailed supplementary guidance. In general terms it provides a reasonable assessment of the Conservation Area.	Support welcomed.
08-Aug-16	Barratt Homes c/o Emery Planning	1431	CAA Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	<p>The character of the conservation areas is described in the appraisal as "Rural" (page 10, 11, 14 & 51). The rural nature of the setting is considered to be overstated i.e. it consists of two fields and the golf course. Long views beyond these fields are not possible.</p> <p>Similarly, the setting is described in the appraisal as "historic and rural" (page 11), and the valuable relationship with the river and countryside is noted. However, in no part of the conservation area is the river visible. The relationship with the river occurs via a by-way, and this physical relationship should be preserved in any future development proposals.</p>	<p>Partially agree – change made. The north part of the Conservation Area has a rural feel however the south part is more residential therefore it is proposed to change rural to semi-rural in the text.</p> <p>Text referring to the River Mersey will be removed from page 11.</p>

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
08-Aug-16	Barratt Homes c/o Emery Planning	1431	CAA Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	<p>Statements from the appraisal are considered to overstate the significance of certain views from the Conservation area:</p> <p>Page 14 (para 4.2.5) - ".....large open green spaces within its boundaries, which look over wider views of the Mersey valley and open countryside."</p> <p>Page 51 (para 4.8.2) - " Views west across the churchyard towards the open fields beyond are significant. The flat landscape is bound by a mature hedge to the west but during the winter months wide views out across the fields are visible."</p>	Disagree – no change made. Views are an important characteristic of the north part of the Conservation Area.
08-Aug-16	Barratt Homes c/o Emery Planning	1431	CAA Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	Ash Tree Farm would represent a logical and deliverable small-scale urban extension that can play a valuable role in meeting housing and economic needs. Discussions would be welcomed with the council to discuss the potential allocation, and discuss how any potential impacts upon the Conservation Area could be avoided or mitigated.	Noted. However, this is beyond the scope of the CAA. This matter will be considered as part of the ongoing GMSF process.
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAA Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Ashton on Mersey.	Noted.
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAA Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Ashton on Mersey. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAA Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Ashton on Mersey.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAA Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Ashton on Mersey poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAA Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Ashton on Mersey and have no detailed comments to make at this time. However, it should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	Barratt Homes c/o Emery Planning	1431	CAMP Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	Note the contents of the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Ashton on Mersey, and support its future adoption. The document represents detailed supplementary guidance. In general terms it provides a reasonable assessment of the Conservation Area.	Support welcomed.
08-Aug-16	Barratt Homes c/o Emery Planning	1431	CAMP Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	Policy 42 (page 39) - It is unnecessarily prescriptive at this stage to rule out entirely future extensions of the golf course and equestrian centre without a proper assessment of a specific scheme, especially given the fairly limited inter-visibility of the land in question and Conservation Area. It is considered that the policy should be	Partially agree– text change made to policy 42 to read:- <i>Large and inappropriate extensions of the equestrian centre should be avoided. Extensions of the golf course must have regard to the setting of the Conservation Area, and any extension causing harm to the setting should be avoided</i>

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
				amended to state that any future proposals for extensions of the golf course and equestrian centre must have regard to the setting of the Conservation Area, and any harm to the setting should be avoided.	
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAMP Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Ashton on Mersey.	Noted.
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAMP Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Ashton on Mersey. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAMP Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Ashton on Mersey.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAMP Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Ashton on Mersey poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAMP Ashton on Mersey - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Ashton on Mersey and have no detailed comments to make at this time. However, it should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	Noted.

Brogden Grove

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAA Brogden Grove - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Brogden Grove.	Noted.
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAA Brogden Grove - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Brogden Grove. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAA Brogden Grove - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Brogden Grove.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAA Brogden Grove - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Brogden Grove poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAA Brogden Grove - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Brogden Grove and have no detailed comments to make at this time.	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
				However, it should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Brogden Grove.	Noted.
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Brogden Grove. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Brogden Grove.	Noted.
03-Jul-16	Local Resident	1426	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	It would be beneficial in the document to include reference to the introduction of trees. For example, either side of the Road of Brogden Grove from Marsland Road would soften the view up to the terrace. This part of the road currently has double yellow lines.	Agree - change made. Policy added. <i>“Where funding permits, areas of damaged road or pavement surface should be repaired, and a strategy sought and implemented regarding maintenance, road markings, signage and street trees.”</i>
03-Jul-16	Local Resident	1426	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	The pathway (by the Cancer Garden) which leads off Brogden Terrace is a much used short cut, however there is no adequate surface to this pathway.	Noted. This is beyond the scope of the CAMP.
03-Jul-16	Local Resident	1426	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	Given the status of a conservation area, a suggestion that the road signage is more in keeping with the character of the area.	Agree – change made. Policy added. <i>“Where funding permits, areas of damaged road or pavement surface should be repaired, and a</i>

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
					<i>strategy sought and implemented regarding maintenance, road markings, signage and street trees”.</i>
03-Jul-16	Local Resident	1426	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	The suggestion that the re-establishment of the cobbled road surface of the grove and terrace would add much to the character of the area.	Noted. Policy 26 seeks to retain and repair cobbles on the ginnels. However, it is not considered appropriate to extend the policy to re-establishing the road surface.
03-Jul-16	Local Resident	1426	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	In terms of the overall visual impact of the grove and terrace, consideration and steps should be taken in terms of satellite dishes and bins located at the front of properties.	Agree – change made. Policy 15 has been amended to make reference to satellites. However, a policy on bins being left at the front of properties is beyond the scope of the CAMP.
11-Jul-16	Local Resident	1434	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	Welcome the consultation, and hope that future work on the street in line with the conservation area designation will further enhance the surroundings.	Support welcomed.
11-Jul-16	Local Resident	1434	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	Dislike the view of the bins on the grove.	Noted. A policy on bins being left at the front of properties is beyond the scope of the CAMP.
11-Jul-16	Local Resident	1434	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	Do not like the idea of LED lighting.	Agree – change made. Policy 24 has been amended to make reference to warm LED bulbs.
11-Jul-16	Local Resident	1434	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	Encourage the tidying up of Brogden Terrace back alley as it gets untidy from fly tipping and distracts view of cobbles.	Noted. This is beyond the scope of the CAMP. However the issue will be passed on to colleagues in Environmental Services / Environmental Improvement Team.
11-Jul-16	Local Resident	1434	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	Alley way at the side of Brogden Terrace could be made more user friendly.	Noted. This is beyond the scope of the CAMP. However the issue will be passed on to colleagues in Environmental Services / Environmental Improvement Team.
11-Jul-16	Local Resident	1434	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	Would be happy to see the introduction of tasteful signage at the entrance of Brogden Grove and on Brogden Terrace, similar to what has been done in Chorlton.	Agree – change made. Policy added. <i>“Where funding permits, areas of damaged road or pavement surface should be repaired, and a strategy sought and implemented regarding maintenance, road markings, signage and street trees”.</i>

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
11-Jul-16	Local Resident	1434	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	Some cobbles on the Tarmac would make the road much more tasteful, as shown in the old picture.	Noted. Policy 26 seeks to retain and repair cobbles on the ginnels. However, it is not considered appropriate to extend the policy to re-establishing the road surface.
11-Jul-16	Local Resident	1434	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	There is a need for guidance when replacing windows, fencing etc.	Noted. It is considered that adequate guidance is provided in Policy 23, which states fencing should be replaced with more traditional treatment and paragraph 2.3.3 and, Policy 10 which provides guidance on the types of windows that are considered appropriate.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Brogden Grove poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAMP Brogden Grove - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Brogden Grove and have no detailed comments to make at this time. However, it should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	Noted.

Dunham Town

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
08-Aug-16	Dunham Massey National Trust	1435	CAA Dunham Town - July 2016	Para 3.5.1 - Dunham Massey National Trust support the recognition that the historic importance of the wider estate is valued by visitors to the Hall and Park.	Support welcomed.
08-Aug-16	Dunham Massey National Trust	1435	CAA Dunham Town - July 2016	Para 4.6.9 - Believe that the "National Trust Historic Buildings, Sites and Monuments Record (HBSMR)" should be referenced as "Historic England", not National Trust.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
08-Aug-16	Dunham Massey National Trust	1435	CAA Dunham Town - July 2016	Para 4.6.11 - Reference could be made to: "A Revised Aerial Survey and Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment of the Dunham Massey Estate, commissioned by the National Trust, was completed in 2016."	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
08-Aug-16	Dunham Massey National Trust	1435	CAA Dunham Town - July 2016	Para 4.7.1 - For reference, the distinctive red estate colour is Monarch Red.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
08-Aug-16	Dunham Massey National Trust	1435	CAA Dunham Town - July 2016	Page 48 - 101 Woodhouse Lane is more commonly known as Laundry Cottage.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
08-Aug-16	Dunham Massey National Trust	1435	CAA Dunham Town - July 2016	Page 59 - The Old Blacksmith's, Back Lane is more commonly known as Hempfield Stables.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAA Dunham Town - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Dunham Town.	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAA Dunham Town - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Dunham Town. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAA Dunham Town - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Dunham Town.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAA Dunham Town - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Dunham Town poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAA Dunham Town - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Dunham Town and have no detailed comments to make at this time. However, it should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	Noted.
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAMP Dunham Town - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Dunham Town.	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAMP Dunham Town - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Dunham Town. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAMP Dunham Town - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Dunham Town.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAMP Dunham Town - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Dunham Town poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAMP Dunham Town - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Dunham Town and have no detailed comments to make at this time. However, it should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	Noted.

Dunham Woodhouses

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
08-Aug-16	Dunham Massey National Trust	1435	CAA Dunham Woodhouses - July 2016	Section 4.6 (Archaeology - Previous Archaeological Work) - Reference could be made to: "A Revised Aerial Survey and Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment of the Dunham Massey Estate, commissioned by the National Trust, was completed in 2016."	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
08-Aug-16	Dunham Massey National Trust	1435	CAA Dunham Woodhouses - July 2016	Para 7.1.3 and 7.1.4 - The Dunham Massey National Trust supports the proposed extensions to Area A and Area B.	Support welcomed.
08-Aug-16	Dunham Massey National Trust	1435	CAA Dunham Woodhouses - July 2016	Para 8.1.1 - Reference could be made to: "Historic Building Surveys exist for many estate buildings within the National Trust's ownership, which help to inform conservation and restoration work on these buildings."	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAA Dunham Woodhouses - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Dunham Woodhouses.	Noted.
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAA Dunham Woodhouses - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Dunham Woodhouses. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAA Dunham Woodhouses - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Dunham Woodhouses.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAA Dunham Woodhouses - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Dunham Woodhouses poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAA Dunham Woodhouses - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Dunham Woodhouses and have no detailed comments to make at this time. However, it should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	Noted.
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAMP Dunham Woodhouses - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Dunham Woodhouses.	Noted.
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAMP Dunham Woodhouses - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Dunham Woodhouses. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAMP Dunham Woodhouses - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Dunham Woodhouses.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAMP Dunham Woodhouses - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Dunham Woodhouses poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAMP Dunham Woodhouses - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Dunham Woodhouses and have no detailed comments to make at this time. However, it should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	Noted.

Empress

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAA Empress - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Empress.	Noted.
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAA Empress - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Empress. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAA Empress - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Empress.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAA Empress - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Empress poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAA Empress - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Empress and have no detailed comments to make at this time. However, it	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
				should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAMP Empress - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Empress.	Noted.
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAMP Empress - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Empress. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAMP Empress - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Empress.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAMP Empress - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Empress poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAMP Empress - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Empress and have no detailed comments to make at this time.	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
				However, it should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	

Flixton

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAA Flixton - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Flixton.	Noted.
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAA Flixton - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Flixton. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAA Flixton - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Flixton.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAA Flixton - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Flixton poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAA Flixton - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Flixton and have no detailed comments to make at this time. However, it	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
				should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAMP Flixton - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Flixton.	Noted.
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAMP Flixton - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Flixton. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAMP Flixton - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Flixton.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAMP Flixton - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Flixton poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAMP Flixton - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Flixton and have no detailed comments to make at this time. However, it should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	Noted.

Longford

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAA Longford - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Longford.	Noted.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	There are more accurate dates for some of the buildings in Longford Park, based on material which was not available to Purcell Associates for the Consultation Draft version. This material can be found in four boxes of archive material, relating to Longford Park, which is now available at Trafford Local Studies (STR/1/12/19/1-4). These files relate to Stretford Council's management of the Park.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation in section 4.6.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 1.2.2 - Reference could be made to the raised path along the Edge Lane boundary which was created as a raised path or boulevard in 1913, in order to preserve the trees during the widening of Edge Lane. A reference to this could be included in section 3.2.2 which notes features laid out during the use of the site as a park.	Partially agree. No change needed to para 1.2.2 as this text is general and generic to all the CAMPs. However text will be added to 3.2.2
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 3.5.1 - Suggest reference could be made to the significance for its association with John Rylands' widow Enriqueta Rylands, who used Longford Hall to store two extremely valuable literary collections before transferring these to the Grade 1 listed John Rylands Library in Manchester, which she founded in honour of her late husband.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.2.6 - Suggest adding to the final sentence, "as does a feeder pipe for the Bridgewater Canal".	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.3.1 - Querying whether it should be six entrances rather than the five described in the text), as there is an entrance at the end of each section of Ryebank Road.	Disagree –However change made amended to say there are five main entrances
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.3.6 - Update reference to disc golf course.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.4.12 - Suggests reference to Stretford Public Hall which can be seen in the far distance of the Coffee House photo. John Rylands funded the Public Hall, and the public baths extension to it, though that part is now demolished. The Public Hall was first opened 1879, as reported in the Manchester Guardian for 15th September 1879 – not 1878 as on the Public Hall website.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.4.13 - The earliest Longford Cottages pre-date Sunnyside, so might be better to say 'and Longford Cottages for his gardeners from 1871 onwards'.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.4.14 - The sentence starting on the 5th line refers to planning applications, and implies the 1904 one (like 1893) was also to the Stretford Local Board – and indeed states this in the footnote and in both image captions. The 1904 application would have been to Stretford Urban District Council, the successor of the Local Board.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	<p>Para 4.4.18 - Suggests the last sentence needs reworking – as neither development happened in 1933. It could say ‘Going from strength to strength in the 1930’s the Rose Garden was created in 1931, the Hall extended in 1933, the children’s playground created in 1934 while 1936 saw the opening of the café and the creation of the rock garden and water feature.’</p>	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	<p>Para 4.6.1 - Suggests this section needs revisiting in the light of the new material discovered. For the Hall could add ‘or possibly 1860-2’ but note it was demolished in 1995 not 1996 (the Draft Management Plan has the right date).</p> <p>For the remaining structures, the following need changes:</p> <p>Edge Lane Lodge – 1860 Lodge on Ryebank Road – delete this reference, as explained in rep 112. Workers’ cottages – the block of 4 (to the east), 1871, block of 3 between 1901 and dairyman’s cottage to west, 1904 Edwardian Bungalow (current café) – 1904 Former stables – 1898-9 Art Deco shelter in East Walled Garden – 1936</p> <p>This list should also include the ‘Long Barn’, 1893.</p>	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.6.1 - The 'Lodge on Ryebank Road', the draft notes supplied to Purcell in December 2014 are confusing as respondent did the East Drive properties with the lodge. However, both the East Drive houses and the former lodge are outside the proposed extension to the conservation area, so arguably should not be included in this section. For the record, the building to the right of the entrance from Ryebank Road was known as 'East Lodge', and was sold off when the Council bought the estate in 1911. If reference is included to this, then the dates should be 'Between 1850s and 1881', as it is on the 1881 map illustrated on page 19 or the appraisal. The two houses at East Drive date between 1881 and 1894.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.8 - In this section, some buildings have dates quoted and others don't – but if quoted (4.8.7, 4.8.9), should reflect the dates as suggested in first section of this feedback relating to archive material which is now available at Trafford Local Studies (STR/1/12/19/1-4)	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.8.4 - The 2nd sentence should start 'There is, however, a range of buildings'.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.8.26 -Suggest making reference that a large number of trees have been planted in 2015 in the northern part of the park, as part of the disc golf course.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 5.3.2 - Dates to be amended include Longford Cottages, 1871-1904 (which therefore should be referred to as Victorian/Edwardian domestic architecture); Former Stables 1898/9; Long Barn 1893 (based on illustrated plan); Edwardian Bungalow (Café), 1904; Art deco shelters, 1936; Edge Lane Lodge 1860; Portico, left standing 1995 not 1996, you may want to refer to alternative building date ie 1860-2.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Bibliography (page 68) - Reference needs to be made to the 4 boxes of Stretford Council files, ref STR/1/12/19/1-4.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 1.4.5 - Rename 'the Purcell' to Purcell.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 3.1.1 - Delete reference to 'remains' on 3rd line.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 3.1.2 - On the 7th line rename 'John Ryland's library' to John Rylands Library.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.4.5 - On the 2nd line rename both references to 'Moseley' to read Mosley. On the 4th line rename 'principle' to read principal. On the 9th line rename 'activates' to activities.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.4.7 - On the 4th line from end rename reference to 'Streford' to read Stretford.	Agree- change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.4.11 - On the 1st line rename reference to 'notably' to read notable.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.4.14 - On the 10th line, the sentence should start 'The core of the Library collection', as the collection is not the whole of the collection.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.4.21 - The third sentence should be amended to either read 'was to lead' or just 'led'.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Section 4.5 - All 6 OS maps in this section should be amended from 'Stationary Office' to read Stationery Office.	Agree- change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.6.1 - The building dates plan is incorrectly colour coded, the proposed extension should be shown as a red colour.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.8.2 - On the 1st line amend reference to 'children's' to read children's.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.8.4 - The 2nd line should start 'a' not 'are'.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 4.8.35 - On the 5th line, insert space before Firwood.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 5.3.2 - Query if page 55 is blank for a reason.	Agree – change made. Blank page has been taken out.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 5.3.2 - Ha-ha is a Victorian feature, not Victoria.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 6.2.16 - Amend reference to 'formally' to read formerly.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.
31-Aug-16	Friends of Longford Park	1438	CAA Longford - July 2016	Para 7.1.4 - 'inclusion' to be followed by 'in'.	Agree – change made. Text amended as proposed in representation.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAA Longford - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Longford. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAA Longford - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Longford.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAA Longford - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Longford poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAA Longford - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Longford and have no detailed comments to make at this time. However, it should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	Noted.
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAMP Longford - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Longford.	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAMP Longford - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Longford. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAMP Longford - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Longford.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAMP Longford - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Longford poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAMP Longford - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Longford and have no detailed comments to make at this time. However, it should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	Noted.

Warburton

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAA Warburton - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Warburton.	Noted.
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAA Warburton - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Warburton. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAA Warburton - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Warburton.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAA Warburton - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Warburton poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAA Warburton - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Appraisal for Warburton and have no detailed comments to make at this time. However, it	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
				should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	
27-Jun-16	Environment Agency	1430	CAMP Warburton - July 2016	The Environment Agency has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Warburton.	Noted.
30-Jun-16	Health and Safety Executive	1433	CAMP Warburton - July 2016	The Health and Safety Executive has no comments to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Warburton. There is insufficient information provided on the location and use class of sites that could be developed, to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved.	Noted.
18-Jul-16	Historic England	1432	CAMP Warburton - July 2016	Historic England is not a statutory consultee in such circumstances and has no comment to make on the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Warburton.	Noted.
04-Jul-16	Natural England	1436	CAMP Warburton - July 2016	Natural England does not consider that the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Warburton poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to their statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment.	Noted.
08-Aug-16	United Utilities	1437	CAMP Warburton - July 2016	United Utilities have reviewed the Consultation Draft Conservation Area Management Plan for Warburton and have no detailed comments to make at this time.	Noted.

Date Received	Organisation	Person ID	Document Name	Summary of Representation	Proposed Council Response
				However, it should be noted that within the designated boundaries there are existing UU operational assets.	